SOUTHERN THE THE TELLS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

FEB 2 6 2003 JS

MARK NEWBY, et al.

Plaintiffs,

VS.

ENRON CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants

ABBEY NATIONAL TREASURY SERVICES plc,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants

Civil Action No. H-01-3624 And Consolidated Cases

Civil Action No. H-02-3869

CERTAIN DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO SERVE THE SUMMONSES, COMPLAINT AND AMENDED COMPLAINT IN THIS ACTION

Defendants Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation, Credit Suisse First Boston (USA), Inc., Credit Suisse First Boston, Inc., J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. (formerly known as Chase Securities Inc.), J.P. Morgan Securities Holding Inc., J.P. Morgan Investment Corp., Bank of America Corporation, Banc of America Securities LLC, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, CIBC Inc., CIBC WorldMarkets Corp., Deutsche Bank AG, Deutsche Banc Alex. Brown Inc., ABN AMRO Incorporated, successor to named defendant ABN AMRO Securities (USA) Inc., and ABN AMRO Bank N.V. submit this response to Plaintiff Abbey National Treasury Services plc's ("Plaintiff") motion to enlarge time to serve the summonses, complaint and amended complaint in this action.¹

#1256

¹ Defendants submit this response without any waiver of their defenses, including but not limited to personal jurisdiction and insufficiency of service of process.

Plaintiff fails to mention that it is pursuing an identical complaint with identical claims against the same defendants in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. See Abbey National Treasury Services plc v. Credit Suisse First Boston Corp., et al., 02-CV-8137 (TPG) (S.D.N.Y.) ("the New York action"). Although Plaintiff and certain Defendants have filed notices with the multidistrict litigation panel to have the New York action transferred to this Court for pretrial purposes, Plaintiff has sought and obtained the same extension it seeks here for service of the amended complaint in the New York action. We respectfully submit that Plaintiff should choose to pursue one action or the other, and should not be permitted to continue duplicate service of identical complaints in two actions. See Hardwick v. Brinson, 523 F.2d 798, 800 (5th Cir. 1975) (requiring plaintiff to pursue only one of his three actions because "plaintiff is entitled to have his claim considered but by only one of the courts he had selected").

Ĭ

Dated: February 26, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

wrence D Finder of Lawrence D. Finder

Southern Dist. Id. No. 602 Texas Bar No. 07007200

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4300

Houston, TX 77002-5012 Telephone: (713) 547-2000 Telecopier: (713) 547-2600

ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE FOR CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON CORP., CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON INC., AND CREDIT SUISSE FIRST

BOSTON (USA) INC.